

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,784	0/075,784 02/13/2002		Ingo Blume	30394-CIP	9749
5179	7590	06/10/2004		EXAMINER	
		S AND ADAMS P	DRODGE, JOSEPH W		
P O BOX 26927 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 871256927				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1723	

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/2			
• •		10/075,784	BLUME ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joseph W. Drodge	1723				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	· ·	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) ⊠-	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers			:			
9) 🗌 🤄	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		-	` '			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	, , , ,				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau			1			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment	• •	_		İ			
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					
5.5.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ľ			

Application/Control Number: 10/075,784

Art Unit: 1723

In order to avoid abandonment, the drawing informalities noted in the paper mailed on August 27, 2003, must now be corrected. Correction can only be effected in the manner set forth in the above noted paper.

The fact that Figure 1 is generic to the prior art, as well as the disclosed invention does not mitigate the necessity of labeling the figure as "Prior Art". It is well understood by the skilled artisan that most disclosed inventions largely encompass apparatus components which are conventional and well known, in combination with new components or arrangements.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coplan et al patent 4,632,756.

Coplan et al disclose a pressure vessel 10, feed (inlet connections at either end of the vessel (column 1, line 68-column 2, line 1, both ends of individual membranes therein being open (see open ends at column 3, lines 43-44 and the other ends open to galleries formed in potting compound (column 3, lines 11-13), the membranes comprising hollow fibers in bundles or capillaries (column 3, lines 11-12, there being outlets 108 and 110, membrane holders 20,80 and 82 at the vessel opposite ends, and a feed-through conduit [as in claim 2] 104 that extends the length of the vessel nad

Application/Control Number: 10/075,784 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

comprised of impermeable material (column 4, lines 15-16). The flow through the membranes may be inside-out (column 3, lines 36-37).

Regarding claims 3 and 5, the disclosed sleeve or liner flow-limiting element 11 (column 3, lines 41-48) defines a conduit or is capable of doing so.

Regarding claim 6, see liner 11 or sleeve (column 3, lines 42-43).

Regarding claim 7, pipe 104 is inherently a smooth, rigid material to have the disclosed properties of providing support and flow-through feed (column 4, lines 7-9).

Application/Control Number: 10/075,784

Art Unit: 1723

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coplan et al in view of Eckman patent 5,470,469.

Claim 4 differs in requiring the modules to be in a series arrangement. Eckman teaches such series arrangement in column 4, lines 60-67. It would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/075,784

Art Unit: 1723

arrange multiple ones of the modules disclosed by Coplan et al to be in series, as taught by Eckman, in order to increase conversion of permeate for a given volume of feed flow.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Drodge at telephone number 571-272-1140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker, can reached at 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the examining group where this application is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or Public PAIR, and through Private PAIR only for unpublished applications. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JWD

June 8, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER